red For Release 2000/05/24TINCTA-R

EVENINGS 166.285

## J.S. Mistreats Ex-Reds Give Testimon

## By Robert Morris

Former Chief Counsel, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee

HARRY GOLD IS an inmate of Lewisburg Prison. He has been im-prisoned since 1950 for his role in the theft of atom bomb secrets. The sentence imposed on him by the presid-

ing judge was 30 years.

These facts may not seem extraordinary unless you consider the United States in which we live in 1963. For despite all the Communist thefts of nuclear, scientific, industrial, military and diplomatic secrets, despite all the extensive policy perversion by direct and indirect. Soviet agents here that has made Soviet power the domincering force it is today, despite the mountains of Communist propaganda exuded by thousands of Khrushchev's propagandists, Harry Gold is one of the few persons in fall today for ever working for the Soviet enemy.

But it was paradoxically enough,

Gold's voluntary assistance to the United States government that led to his conviction. Unlike the thousands of successful Soviet agents here in the United States, Gold has been co-operating with the FBI, the congressional committees and those other agencies interested in

blunting Soviet power.

I have visited Harry Gold on several occasions at Lewisburg in connection with my Senate work. He is a brilliant intellectual and he had given, at that time, more information on the Soviet conspiracy in the realm of nuclear and scientific secrets than anyone I know. His testimony before the Senate Internal Security subcommittee in Washington on May 3, 1956, was an illuminating performance that could have done much for the nation's security.

May, Klaus Fuchs and others-all of

ities-now are well placed and seem? ingly flourishing. The direct and indirect agents who were shown to have brought down Free China are particu larly successful today. The literally scores of Communist leaders who were demonstrated by overwhelming evidence to have worked for the destruction of the United States, have had their convictions set aside and are now working for Soviet purposes, seemingly without interference.

The contrast between the treatment we accord the ex-Communist who helps us and the unregenerate Communist who defles those interested in stopping Communism is too lurid not to do damage.

Even Junius Scales' case exemplifies the point. He was convicted on charges of Communist party membership. He contended he broke with Communism and he may have-but he made the conspicuous point of refusing to cooperate with the committees,

Christmas time he was accorded exect utive clemency.

This conspicuous contrast not only is working personal injustices. It is working to the detriment of the sc-

curity of the United States.

When ex-Communists came forward in the late 1940s and early 1950s and gave their testimony on the dimensions of the massive Soviet penetration of our government we learned a great deal and were able to reverse some of our disastrous policies, at least briefly. The contributions of Whittaker Chambers, Elizabeth Bentley, Louis Budenz, Dr. Bella V. Dodd and other courageous and dutiful witnesses gave us an insight into the workings of Soviet chicanery in the United States that proved most wholesome.

Today, you will notice few, if any Meanwhile, leading characters in the Ock-Communists are coming forward.

Soviet espionage rings, Dr. Manny of those who have tried to break Many of those who have tried to break through and tell their story have been

The Povl Bang-Jensen case which ended so tragically in November 1959 was the straw in the wind. In late 1956 a cluster of Soviet diplomats, disillu-sioned by Khrushchev's grinding down the Hungarians, wanted asylum here in the United States.

They told Bang-Jensen, their selected intermediary, that their superiors in Moscow controlled the 38th floor, the heart of the Secretariat, of the United Nations, and had successfully infiltrated our foreign intelligence and offered to prove it. For the next seven or eight months the head of our CIA would not even see them.

I can see very distressing security events taking place today. For example, in the middle 1950s a State Department official who was in a particularly sensitive position was called before the Senate investigating committee. He had been close to one of the more conspicuous Soviet spies in this country and all in all his testimony, while not

conclusive, was so disturbing to Sia Department officials that he was given a remote post to get him out of the way. The State Department officials concerned with security were against this solution, warning that he would be back at a later time in another sensitive spot. But compromise pr

I have recently noticed that this same official has now come back from this exile and had a key role in the U.N. conquest of Katanga.

Harry Gold became eligible for parole on October 22, 1960. He has been denied parole three times, on the application by his lawyer, John D. Hamilton, the well-known Philadelphia attorney. Gold is now a valuable, knowledgeable United States citizen. This is an area where clemency would not only be just but would be a move to break up that double standard that people if of security

whom A first of the investigating the investigating leading langer try.